# Stranger things – the fractal monsters that lurk between dimensions

**Richard Smith** 

18th October 2017

3

SQ (P

< I > < I >

In school we learned about things from geometry such as...



3

SQ (P

< E

< ∃ >

A.

In school we learned about things from geometry such as...



3

SQ (P

< **Ξ** 

**B**.

In school we learned about things from geometry such as...



3

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

< ∃ →

< □ ▶

A.

In school we learned about things from geometry such as...



These things are nice and (mainly) smooth and cuddly and regular.

< □ ▶

E

Ξ.

-

SQ (A

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

3

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

< ∃ >

**B**.

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x = 0$ .

э.

#### Later on, life was still fairly simple...

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x = 0$ .



32

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

<ロト < 団 > < 国 > < 国 >

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

 $\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$  and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at x = 0.



As we zoom in to the origin, the graph 'settles down' to the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .

 $\nabla Q \cap$ 

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Later on, life was still fairly simple...

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x = 0$ .



As we zoom in to the origin, the graph 'settles down' to the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

< ∃ >

# Later on, life was still fairly simple...

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x = 0$ .



As we zoom in to the origin, the graph 'settles down' to the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

-∢⊒>

< ∃ >

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

 $\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$  and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at x = 0.



As we zoom in to the origin, the graph 'settles down' to the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .

 $\nabla Q \cap$ 

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Later on, life was still fairly simple...

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x = 0$ .



As we zoom in to the origin, the graph 'settles down' to the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

< ∃ ▶ < ∃ ▶

#### Later on, life was still fairly simple...

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x = 0$ .



As we zoom in to the origin, the graph 'settles down' to the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

< ∃ ▶ < ∃ ▶

#### Later on, life was still fairly simple...

Later on we learned about graphing functions and calculus.

The function  $y = -\frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$  has derivative

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and equals  $\frac{1}{2}$  at  $x = 0$ .



As we zoom in to the origin, the graph 'settles down' to the line  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

< ∃ ▶ < ∃ ▶

For a long time, mathematicians thought that continuous functions should be differentiable at 'most points'.

3

SQ (P

·< 토 ▶ < 토 ▶

↓ □ ▶ < <p>↓ □ ▶

For a long time, mathematicians thought that continuous functions should be differentiable at 'most points'.

At 'most points', the graph of these functions should settle down to a line as one zooms in.

32

· < ∃ > < ∃ >

↓ □ ▶ < <p>↓ □ ▶

For a long time, mathematicians thought that continuous functions should be differentiable at 'most points'.

At 'most points', the graph of these functions should settle down to a line as one zooms in.

But in 1872, the mathematician Karl Weierstrass found a function that did not do this at all ...

3

- 4 日 - 4 日 - 4 日 - 4

For a long time, mathematicians thought that continuous functions should be differentiable at 'most points'.

At 'most points', the graph of these functions should settle down to a line as one zooms in.

But in 1872, the mathematician Karl Weierstrass found a function that did not do this at all ...

Define

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$
  
=  $\cos(x) + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2x) + \frac{1}{4}\cos(4x) + \frac{1}{8}\cos(8x) + \dots$ 

3

For a long time, mathematicians thought that continuous functions should be differentiable at 'most points'.

At 'most points', the graph of these functions should settle down to a line as one zooms in.

But in 1872, the mathematician Karl Weierstrass found a function that did not do this at all ...

Define

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$
  
=  $\cos(x) + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2x) + \frac{1}{4}\cos(4x) + \frac{1}{8}\cos(8x) + \dots$ 

This function (actually a Fourier series) is continuous but is not differentiable at any point!

32

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



E.

5900

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > .

#### Your computer will grumble, but you can plot it...



The graph looks a bit like a jagged mountain – it is 'infinitely' rough.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

- ₹ € •

< ∃ ▶

 $\langle \Box \rangle$ 

#### Your computer will grumble, but you can plot it...



We zoom in to the point (0, 2) on the graph (when x = 0, y = 2).

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

- ₹ € •





3

SQ (P

∢ ⊒ ▶



3

SQ (P

□ ► < E ► < E ►</p>



A ►

- ◀ ె ▶

3

SQ (P

.∢ ⊒ ▶



A ►

- ◀ ె ▶

3

SQ (P

- ₹ ₹ ♪



- ∢ ⊒ ▶

3

SQ (P

- ₹ ₹ ♪



A.

3

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

Ξ.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

=

.





3

SQ (P

-∢ ⊒ ▶





3

SQ (P

□ ► < Ξ ► < Ξ ►</p>

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$



æ

3

Ξ.

SQ (~

=





3

SQ (P

□ ► < E ► < E ►</p>





3

SQ (P

□▶▲■▶▲■▶

# Your computer will grumble, but you can plot it...



3

SQ (P

□ > < E > < E >





A D A C E A E A E A C E A

18th October 2017

6/18




3

-∢ ⊒ ▶





⊸▼▶

- ∢ ⊒ ▶

3

SQ (P

- ₹ € ►





- ∢ ⊒ ▶

3

- ₹ € ►

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$



æ

3

Ξ.

=





3

SQ (P

□ ► < Ξ ► < Ξ ►</p>





- ∢ ⊒ ▶

3

SQ (P

- ₹ € ►





3

SQ (P

-∢ ⊒ ▶





3

SQ (P





3

SQ (P

□▶▲■▶▲■▶





3

□ ► < E ► < E ►</p>





3

□▶▲■▶▲■▶





3

- ₹ € ►





・ロ・・ 「中・・ 川・・ 「中・・ 日・

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$



A.

< ⊒

Ξ.

**E**.

.

But then everything changed

### Your computer will grumble, but you can plot it...





Richard Smith (mathsci.ucd.ie/~rsmith) (UCD)

3

□ ► < Ξ ► < Ξ ►</p>





3



No matter how far you zoom in, the graph **never** settles down to a line!

E

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

-∢ ⊒ ▶

**E )** 



This time we zoom in to (1, 0.117078) on the graph (when  $x = 1, y \approx 0.117078$ .)

SQ (A



3

SQ (P

< ∃ >

A.

- ₹ 🖿 🕨



3

SQ (P

< ∃ >

A.

- ₹ 🖿 🕨



Ξ.

SQ (A

∢ ⊒ ▶

▲ 글 ▶



Ξ.

SQ (A

< ⊒ >

- E



E

SQA

< E

< E



Ξ.

SQA

< ⊒ >

-∢ ∃ ▶



Richard Smith (mathsci.ucd.ie/~rsmith) (UCD)

E

SQA

**E**.

-



E

SQA

32

-



E

SQA

E.

-



Ξ.

SQ (P

< ∃ >

▲ 글 ▶





$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・



18th October 2017

6/18



18th October 2017

6/18

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

Ξ.

SQ (P

E.

.

A.

< E





Richard Smith (mathsci.ucd.ie/~rsmith) (UCD)

3

SQ (P







 $\blacksquare \square \models \blacksquare$ 

3

SQ (P

□ ► < E ► < E ►</p>



3

SQ (P

□ ► < Ξ ► < Ξ ►</p>
$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

Ξ.

SQ (P

31

.

3

A.

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

Ξ.

SQ (P

32

.

A.

But then everything changed

#### Your computer will grumble, but you can plot it...

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

3

SQ (P

< E

A.

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

3

SQ (P

< ⊒ >

A.

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

Ξ.

SQ (P

**E**.

.

A.





$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 めへで





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□ ♪ ▲◎

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$



Ξ.

SQ (A

**B**.





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□ ♪ ▲◎

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$



Ξ.

SQ (A

**B**.

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$



Ξ.

SQ (A

**E**.

.

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

Again, no line!

E

SQA

**E**.

3

Weierstrass' function was the first example of what is now called a **fractal** curve (first coined in the 1970s by **Benoît Mandelbrot**).

Э.

< "■ ▶ < "■ ▶

Weierstrass' function was the first example of what is now called a **fractal** curve (first coined in the 1970s by **Benoît Mandelbrot**).

There is no formally accepted definition of fractal, but they are somehow 'broken', 'fractured' or 'rough', and often have the property of being **self-similar**.

Э.

SQ Q

I = ► < = ►</p>

Weierstrass' function was the first example of what is now called a **fractal** curve (first coined in the 1970s by **Benoît Mandelbrot**).

There is no formally accepted definition of fractal, but they are somehow 'broken', 'fractured' or 'rough', and often have the property of being **self-similar**.

Weierstrass' function was initially considered an aberrant monstrosity, but more monsters emerged, such as the 'space-filling' **Peano curve** (1890) and the **Koch snowflake** (1904).

32

<ロ > < 同 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

Weierstrass' function was the first example of what is now called a **fractal** curve (first coined in the 1970s by **Benoît Mandelbrot**).

There is no formally accepted definition of fractal, but they are somehow 'broken', 'fractured' or 'rough', and often have the property of being **self-similar**.

Weierstrass' function was initially considered an aberrant monstrosity, but more monsters emerged, such as the 'space-filling' **Peano curve** (1890) and the **Koch snowflake** (1904).

These objects overturned many safe assumptions, including that objects should have integer (e.g. 1,2,3...) dimension (more on this later).

Э.

<ロ > < 同 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

Weierstrass' function was the first example of what is now called a **fractal** curve (first coined in the 1970s by **Benoît Mandelbrot**).

There is no formally accepted definition of fractal, but they are somehow 'broken', 'fractured' or 'rough', and often have the property of being **self-similar**.

Weierstrass' function was initially considered an aberrant monstrosity, but more monsters emerged, such as the 'space-filling' **Peano curve** (1890) and the **Koch snowflake** (1904).

These objects overturned many safe assumptions, including that objects should have integer (e.g. 1,2,3...) dimension (more on this later).

Actually, far from being the exception, in a precise sense, it is **normal** for a continuous function to be nowhere differentiable! The smooth functions are the **wierd** ones!

32.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

<ロ > < 同 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

Stage 1 – start with an equilateral triangle having side length 1.

Area  $=\frac{1}{2}$ 

Boundary length = 3



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶

3

SQ Q

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

Stage 2 – split the triangle into four equal equilateral triangles having side length  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 'eat' the middle one.

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} = 0.375$$

Boundary length = 4.5



Э.

SQ Q

/□ ▶ 《 트 ▶ 《 트 ▶

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

Stage 3 – we eat the middle miniature equilateral triangle from each of the remaining three triangles.

Area  $= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \approx 0.2813$ 

Boundary length = 6.75



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶

 $\nabla Q \cap$ 

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

Stage 4 – we eat yet again...

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3 \approx 0.2109$$

Boundary length = 10.125



<ロト < 団 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 >

E

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called Sierpiński Triangle (1915).

... and again...

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^4 \approx 0.1582$$

Boundary length  $\approx$  15.188



<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト

E

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

... for ever and ever...

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^5 \approx 0.1187$$

Boundary length  $\approx$  22.781



< □ ▶ < □ ▶

< ∃ >

- 4 ∃ ▶

## The joys of endless eating

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called Sierpiński Triangle (1915).

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^6 \approx 0.0890$$

Boundary length  $\approx$  34.172



<ロ > < 同 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^7 \approx 0.0667$$

Boundary length  $\approx$  51.258



 $\blacksquare \square \models \blacksquare$ 

< ∃ ▶

- E 1

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^8 \approx 0.0501$$

Boundary length  $\approx$  76.887



<ロ > < 同 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

Area 
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^9 \approx 0.0375$$

Boundary length  $\approx$  115.33



<ロ > < 同 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

After **infinitely** many steps, we are left with an object having **zero surface area** but **infinite boundary length**. It is also **self-similar**.

Area = 0

Boundary length  $= \infty$ 



◀◻▶ ◀氬▶ ◀重▶ ◀重▶

By a process of 'endless eating', applied to an equilateral triangle in 2 dimensions, we obtain the so-called **Sierpiński Triangle** (1915).

After **infinitely** many steps, we are left with an object having **zero surface area** but **infinite boundary length**. It is also **self-similar**.

Area = 0

Boundary length  $= \infty$ 



◀◻▶ ◀氬▶ ◀重▶ ◀重▶

#### Stage 1 – begin with a cube.



- < ∃ →

< ≣ ▶

3

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

Stage 2 – split the cube into 27 sub-cubes, remove the sub-cubes in the middle of each face, and the cental sub-cube, leaving 20 sub-cubes.



E

 $\nabla Q \cap$ 

=

Stage 3 – repeat the above process with each of the remaining 20 sub-cubes, leaving  $20 \times 20 = 400$  even smaller cubes.



Ξ.

-

3

Stage 4 – repeat with the remaining 400 smaller cubes, to give  $400 \times 20 = 8000$  even smaller cubes..., and so it continues ...



E

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

The **Menger Sponge** (1926) is the object that you have left after this process has been repeated **infinitely many** times.



 $\bullet$   $\square$   $\bullet$ 

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

Ξ.

-

The **Menger Sponge** (1926) is the object that you have left after this process has been repeated **infinitely many** times.



It is an object having zero volume, but infinite surface area....

< □ ▶
## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



3

<ロト < 団 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 >

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



3

< "■ ▶ < "■ ▶

↓

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



3

- ₹ ₹ ▶

.∃ ▶

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



3

∢ ⊒ ▶

-

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



-

< E

Эł

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

3

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

3

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

3

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

3

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

3

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



E

SQ Q

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

### Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



 $\bullet$   $\square$   $\bullet$ 

SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

## Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



SQ Q

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



#### After infinitely many steps we get the so-called **Barnsley Fern**.

 $\nabla Q \cap$ 

# Iterated function systems

Rather than eating endlessly, we generate fractals using so-called **iterated function systems** (to iterate is to repeat something over and over).



After infinitely many steps we get the so-called **Barnsley Fern**.

It is generated by a system of just four simple geometric transformations.

 $\nabla Q \cap$ 

Image: Image:

- < ∃ ▶

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



E

=

E

SQ (A
Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



臣

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



E

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



E

=

E

## Iterated function systems

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



E

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

E

=

E

SQA

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

A.

=

臣

E

SQA

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< A

32

E

E

SQA

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< A<sup>2</sup>

32

臣

E

500

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< A

=

E

500

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< A

=

E

SQ (P

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

A.

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

a

=

æ,

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

## Iterated function systems

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

## Iterated function systems

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

## Iterated function systems

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

-

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

-

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

-

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

-

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

=

E

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

Э

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

=

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

Э

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

=

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

Э

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

=

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

a

=

Э

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

a

=

Э

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



< □ ▶

Э

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

-

Iterated function systems can be applied to anything to begin with.



Whether you start with a green block or a mendacious Twitter troll, you end up with the same shape!

< □ ▶

 $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}$ 

## What do these monsters have to do with nature?

It turns out that many natural structures are fractal-like – and with good reason.

32

SQ (~

I = ►

-

# What do these monsters have to do with nature?

It turns out that many natural structures are fractal-like – and with good reason. Take the airways in human lungs.



< □ ▶

# What do these monsters have to do with nature?

It turns out that many natural structures are fractal-like – and with good reason. Take the airways in human lungs.



This structure has huge surface area, despite small volume...

 $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}$
# What do these monsters have to do with nature?

It turns out that many natural structures are fractal-like – and with good reason. Take the airways in human lungs.



This structure has huge surface area, despite small volume...

... like the Menger sponge.

 $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}$ 

Romanesco broccoli exhibits self-similarity.



**E**.

.

< □ > < □ > < 三 >

32

SQ (P

As do ferns...



王

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

...and snowflakes...



臣

5900

< ロ > < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > <</p>

... and snowflakes...



The apparent connection between nature and fractals was first popularised by Benoît Mandelbrot in his book 'The Fractal Geometry of Nature'.

<ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Are we in chaos?

In 1963, **Edward Lorenz** created a simple system of **differential equations** to model atmospheric convection:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sigma(y-x), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = x(\rho-z)-y, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t} = xy-\beta z,$$

where x corresponds to convection rate and y and z temperature variation.

E

I ≡ ▶ < ≡ ▶</p>

#### Are we in chaos?

In 1963, **Edward Lorenz** created a simple system of **differential equations** to model atmospheric convection:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sigma(y-x), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = x(\rho-z)-y, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t} = xy-\beta z,$$

where x corresponds to convection rate and y and z temperature variation.

It is a **chaotic system**, which (roughly) means that small differences in initial conditions lead to wildly diverging outcomes.

SQ Q

< ∃ ▶ < ∃ ▶

#### Are we in chaos?

In 1963, **Edward Lorenz** created a simple system of **differential equations** to model atmospheric convection:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sigma(y-x), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = x(\rho-z)-y, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t} = xy-\beta z,$$

where x corresponds to convection rate and y and z temperature variation.

It is a **chaotic system**, which (roughly) means that small differences in initial conditions lead to wildly diverging outcomes.

Many systems of equations that model physical processes are chaotic. Even though such systems are **deterministic** (roughly, non-random), this can make solving them very difficult.

- 32

<ロト < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > .

#### **Fractal** attraction

While chaotic systems are very hard to solve, sometimes order can be imposed. The following is an example of a **Lorenz attractor**.



-

< E:

E

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

#### **Fractal attraction**

While chaotic systems are very hard to solve, sometimes order can be imposed. The following is an example of a Lorenz attractor.



If two particles start close together in the attractor, then while their orbits may diverge wildly over time, **both orbits will stay within the attractor**.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

∢□▶ ∢⊡▶ ∢∃▶ ∢∃▶

#### Fractal attraction

While chaotic systems are very hard to solve, sometimes order can be imposed. The following is an example of a Lorenz attractor.



If two particles start close together in the attractor, then while their orbits may diverge wildly over time, **both orbits will stay within the attractor**.

The cross section of this attractor is a fractal.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

· < ≣ ▶ < ≣ ▶

< □ ▶ < ⊡ ▶

32

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

**Brownian motion** is a random process used to model some physical and financial phenomena. It yields continuous nowhere differentiable fractal curves.

3

< ∃ ▶ < ∃ ▶

**Brownian motion** is a random process used to model some physical and financial phenomena. It yields continuous nowhere differentiable fractal curves.

The designs of so-called **fractal antennae** are inspired by fractal geometry. This is the so-called **Sierpiński antenna**.



 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

.∃ ▶

- 4 ⊒ ▶

**Brownian motion** is a random process used to model some physical and financial phenomena. It yields continuous nowhere differentiable fractal curves.

The designs of so-called **fractal antennae** are inspired by fractal geometry. This is the so-called **Sierpiński antenna**.



Given the usefulness of fractal-like structures in nature, it would not surprise me to see technological applications of fractals in the future.

 $\nabla Q \cap$ 

( )

Points, straight lines, discs and spheres have dimension 0,1,2 and 3, respectively. Einstein's 'space-time' is 4-dimensional.

3

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト

Points, straight lines, discs and spheres have dimension 0,1,2 and 3, respectively. Einstein's 'space-time' is 4-dimensional.

We take it for granted that no matter what the object, it will have an **integer** dimension.

э.

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Points, straight lines, discs and spheres have dimension 0,1,2 and 3, respectively. Einstein's 'space-time' is 4-dimensional.

We take it for granted that no matter what the object, it will have an **integer** dimension.

But how do we **define** dimension? In mathematics there are many notions of dimension: linear dimension, topological dimension etc.

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Points, straight lines, discs and spheres have dimension 0,1,2 and 3, respectively. Einstein's 'space-time' is 4-dimensional.

We take it for granted that no matter what the object, it will have an **integer** dimension.

But how do we **define** dimension? In mathematics there are many notions of dimension: linear dimension, topological dimension etc.

Intuitively, given an object, one can imagine that if one doubles the object with respect to every length scale, then its 'content' will be increased by a factor of  $2^d$ , where *d* is the dimension of the object.

- E.

<ロト < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Points, straight lines, discs and spheres have dimension 0,1,2 and 3, respectively. Einstein's 'space-time' is 4-dimensional.

We take it for granted that no matter what the object, it will have an **integer** dimension.

But how do we **define** dimension? In mathematics there are many notions of dimension: linear dimension, topological dimension etc.

Intuitively, given an object, one can imagine that if one doubles the object with respect to every length scale, then its 'content' will be increased by a factor of  $2^d$ , where *d* is the dimension of the object.

Following this intuition we arrive at the notion of **Hausdorff dimension**, which can assign dimensions to very complicated objects, including fractals. Often these dimensions are **not** integers.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

<ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Object	Hausdorff dimension
Point	0
Straight line	1
Disc	2
Sphere	3
Sierpiński Triangle	$\log 3/\log 2 pprox$ 1.585
Menger Sponge	$\log 20/\log 3 pprox 2.727$
Weierstrass Functions	Between 1 and 2 (unknown in general)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

臣

590

Given a metric space (X, d), the Hausdorff dimension of X is defined to be

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} H_n^d(X),$ 

where

$$H_n^d(X) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{diam} (E_i)) : \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = X \text{ and } \operatorname{diam} (E_i) < n^{-1} \right\},$$

and

diam 
$$(E) := \sup \{ d(x, y) : x, y \in E \}.$$

32.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > .

# Acknowledgements

With thanks to

• Ricktu – Sierpiński Triangle zoom gif.

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/
File:Sierpinski\_zoom\_2.gif

• tthsqe12 – Mandelbrot zoom video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PD2XgQ0yCCk

• Lord8Vader – Lorenz attractor video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dP3qAq9RNLg

- Wikipedia Various images.
- imgflip.com Angry cat.

32.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$